

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

NUR MOHAMED NUR,

Plaintiff,

v.

HELEN LAFAVE, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C24-0666-KKE

THIRD ORDER TO PROVIDE PROOF OF  
SERVICE

Plaintiff filed this immigration case on May 14, 2024. Dkt. No. 1. On December 9, 2024, the Court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). Dkt. No. 3. On December 27, 2024, Plaintiff responded and requested the case remain open because the at-issue visa application had not been adjudicated. Dkt. No. 4. The Court then ordered Plaintiff to provide proof of service under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4. Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff responded with a certified mail receipt evidencing delivery to an unspecified address in Washington, D.C. Dkt. No. 6. The Court issued a second order to provide proof of service explaining that Plaintiff “had to provide proof of service on the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington and the Attorney General of the United States” under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i)(1). Dkt. No. 7. The Court also explained that Plaintiff’s mailing receipt failed to show “what was sent, the recipient’s address, or provide proof of who accepted delivery” under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i)(2).

1 Dkt. No. 7 at 1–2. The Court ordered Plaintiff to provide proof of service on “the United States  
2 Attorney for the Western District of Washington, the Attorney General of the United States, and  
3 the Department of State.” *Id.* at 2.

4 On May 16, 2025, Plaintiff filed “proof of service” on “the U.S. Department of State-via  
5 certified mail.” Dkt. No. 8. This, again, failed to comply with the Court’s order to serve the United  
6 States Attorney for the Western District of Washington and the Attorney General of the United  
7 States and failed to provide the address where the certified mail was sent. *See id.* Accordingly,  
8 Plaintiff is ORDERED to serve the complaint and summons (Dkt. Nos. 1, 2) as follows:

- 9 1. Serve the Department of State by registered or certified mail to: The Executive  
10 Office of the Legal Adviser and Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Suite 5.600, 600  
11 19th Street NW, Washington, DC 20522.
- 12 2. Serve the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Western District of Washington by  
13 registered or certified mail to: United States Attorney’s Office, Attn: Civil Process  
14 Clerk, 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220, Seattle, Washington 98101.
- 15 3. Serve the Attorney General of the United States by registered or certified mail to:  
16 Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Justice, 950  
17 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20530.

18 Plaintiff must file proof of service of the complaint and summons on all three entities by  
19 July 18, 2025 or the case will be dismissed without prejudice. While Plaintiff has previously filed  
20 certified mail receipts and tracking information as proof of service, to date, these filings have not  
21 indicated the **full address** where the mail was sent. Rather, they state only “Washington DC  
22 20522[.]” Dkt. Nos. 6, 8. Without the full address, the Court is unable to assess whether  
23 Defendants have been properly served. Accordingly, when filing proof of service, Plaintiff must  
24 include the full address used for each entity on the proof of service form, if such addresses are not  
listed on the certified mail or registered mail receipts. Plaintiff shall also confirm that for each  
mailing, the complaint and summons was included.

1 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and  
2 to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

3 Dated this 26th day of June, 2025.

4 

5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 Kymberly K. Evanson  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24